Annex D. Expert Questionnaire on the Criteria Weight Determination (FARE Method)

Question no 1. (ranking the indicators):

Please evaluate, what is the priority line of the below mentioned IBC performance indicators, or in other words, rank them from 1 to 6 according to the impact they have on the overall university competitiveness:

Table D.1. Ranking of indicators, according to the impact they have on the overall university competitiveness

Indicator No.	Indicator	Rank (from 1 to 6)
1	Number of study programs taught at IBC	Choose
2	Yearly income of an IBC	Choose
3	Having partners in IBC establishment in a form of joint venture (number of partners)	Choose
4	Number of international staff (not local) in IBC	Choose
5	Number of social partners in a host country of IBC	Choose
6	Student number in IBC	Choose

According to your evaluation, the criterion No. Choose which was ranked as the first has the highest influence on university's overall competitiveness in comparison to others.

Question no 2 (estimating the interrelationship):

According to Systems Theory all subsets of a set are connected in some way. In our case, we presume, that all the indicators having an impact on the overall competitiveness of the university are also interrelated between each other.

Thus, we presume, that all the indicators have a certain impact not only on the competitiveness of a university, but also on the other indicators. Hereby, your evaluation would be highly appreciated, what is the strength of the impact of all the indicators on the remaining indicators.

The important note behind this estimation is that the criterion of a lower rank has relatively smaller impact on the criteria having higher ranks. It follows that the higher ranked indicators should be assigned higher impact weights respectively.

Table D.2. The scale for the weight determination

Type of the Effect	Rating of the Effect produced by the Interrelationship
Almost none	1
Very weak	2
Weak	3
Lower than average	4
Average	5
Higher than average	6
Strong	7
Very strong	8
Almost absolute	9
Absolute	10

Table D.3. The weights of impact of the indicators to the remaining indicators (please leave the weight of indicator ranked as first blank, and for the others: the higher the rank, the higher the weight, and vice versa)

Indicator No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ranks (to be copied from Table 2)	Choose	Choose	Choose	Choose	Choose	Choose
Impact on other indicators	Choose	Choose	Choose	Choose	Choose	Choose